

From last time: Chebyshev's Inequality implies WLLN. Also, WLLN justifies probability in that axioms are compatible with frequency and empirical frameworks. Our proof for WLLN operated on expectation, without computing distributions/probabilities.

### CHEBNOFF BOUNDS

For a RV  $X$  and an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Pr\{X \geq a\} \leq \frac{E[e^{tX}]}{e^{ta}} = e^{-ta} M_X(t)$ ,  $t > 0$   
 Since this holds for all  $t > 0$ , we can optimize.

PROOF  $\Pr\{X \geq a\} = \Pr\{tX \geq ta\} = \Pr\{e^{tX} \geq e^{ta}\} \leq \frac{E[e^{tX}]}{e^{ta}}$  ▣

IDEA Chebyshev gives "better" bounds than Markov because it uses  $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$ . Chernoff, using MGF, uses info about all moments.

EXAMPLE  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . We know that  $\Phi$  has no closed form, but Chernoff gives us good control of tail probabilities.

$$\Pr\{Z \geq a\} \leq e^{-ta} M_Z(t) = e^{-ta} e^{t^2/2} = e^{t^2/2 - ta} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} e^{t^2/2 - ta} = (t-a)e^{t^2/2 - ta} = 0$$

To optimize/minimize, set  $t=a \rightarrow \Pr\{Z \geq a\} \leq e^{-a^2/2}$   $a > 0$

### CONVERGENCE

Language of "limits" in probability. Given a sequence of RVs  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , what does it mean to say  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$ ? Nothing, without more information.

RVs are functions, so we need to specify type of convergence.

Pointwise convergence:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x$

In  $L_1$ -norm:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx = 0$

### Three modes:

1. Almost Sure.  $X_n \rightarrow X$  almost surely if  $\Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$ .
2. In Probability.  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0$ .
3. In Distribution.  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in distribution if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x) \quad \forall$  continuity points  $x$  of  $F_X$ .

$$(X_n \rightarrow X \text{ a.s.}) \implies (X_n \rightarrow X \text{ in prob.}) \implies (X_n \rightarrow X \text{ in dist.})$$

All of these implications are strict.

Proofs are a bit technical, feel free to Google.

## STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim \text{IID } X$  and  $E[X] < \infty$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow E[X]$  a.s.

Same claim as WLLN, but almost surely instead of in probability.

Also tells us that individual sample paths  $\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i(\omega) = E[X] \forall \omega$  if  $P=1$ .

Doesn't tell us anomalies can't happen, but that they won't happen.

## CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim \text{IID } X$ , and  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,  $E[X] = \mu$ .

Define  $S_n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu \right) / \sigma \sqrt{n}$ . Then,  $S_n \rightarrow Z \sim N(0, 1)$  in distribution. Also,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{S_n \leq x\} = \Phi(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof is in lecture slides.

Caution:  $X_n \rightarrow X$  does not imply  $E[X_n] \rightarrow E[X]$  without more information.