

ERROR CORRECTION

why $n+2k$ for the general case?

ERASURE We used an identical scheme because we don't care about secrecy.

GENERAL Same thing, with more redundancy. Our algorithm needed $n+2k$ unknowns: n th coeff. in $Q(x)$, and k coeff. in $E(x)$. We know this works, but why?

DISTANCE

Look at the codebook:

message	m	m'	\tilde{m}
codeword	\bar{c}	\bar{c}'	\tilde{c}

 ← need gaps
 ← each is $n+2k$
 ← some things are closer together

Hamming Distance: $d(\bar{s}, \bar{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^L \mathbb{1}(r[j] \neq s[j])$
 indicator function

By changing d elements in \bar{s} , we arrive at \bar{r} . However, the adversary just needs to confuse the decoder. Say $d=5$. Then say the adversary changes $k=4$. Was it \bar{s} , changed by 4, or \bar{r} , changed by 1?

This means $d=5$ cannot protect against 4 changes or 3 changes. But, if only 2 corrections can be made, it must've been \bar{s} . So, we can protect against $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ errors if d is odd & $d/2 - 1$ if d is even.

SYSTEMATIC CODES

$\bar{c} = [m \quad \text{extra}]^T$ min. distance = $\min_{\bar{c}, \bar{c}'} d(\bar{c}, \bar{c}')$
 $\bar{c} = [x_2 \quad x_4]^T$ can min. distance = 6? no!
 min. distance $\leq 1 + \text{extra length}$

For our polynomials, $\lfloor (2k+1)/2 \rfloor = k$, the number of corruptions we can handle.

COUNTING

Probability theory happens to rely on counting & number theory. Say you had a 100-sided die, and you win on prime #'s. How likely? Clearly, this has to do w/ how many primes ≤ 100 .

You learned multiplication as the area of a rectangle, counting squares. How many permutations are there of n distinct objects?
 $n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = n!$

Sahar's joke of the day: in English, "no choice" & "one choice" are the same. How many ways to arrange k objects? $n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (n-j) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$

DIVISION

30 squares, 6 per row. How many rows? $30/6 = 5$

This is counting "equivalence classes" when every class is of the same size.

Can we think of $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$ in this way? We know $n!$ is arranging everything. $(n-k)!$ is then the # of ways to arrange the rest.

How many ways to pick, not arrange, k objects?

If order doesn't matter, there's probably division.

$$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} / k! = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = n \text{ choose } k = \binom{n}{k}$$

