

WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

All non-empty subsets of natural numbers have a minimum.

Are there always stable matches? Can we find them? Propose & Reject

EX Round-robin: n teams face every other team once, no ties

Either consistent results or cycles.

CLAIM If cycle, then \exists cycle of length 3, ie, $t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > t_1$

PROOF Assume no cycles of length 3, but that \exists cycles. By WOP, pick a shortest cycle: $t_{i_1} > t_{i_2} > t_{i_3} > \dots > t_{i_k} > t_{i_1}$ of length k

By our tournament, t_{i_2} played t_{i_k} . What happened?

CASE $t_{i_2} > t_{i_k}$: $t_{i_1} > t_{i_2} > t_{i_k} > t_{i_1}$ length 3, contradiction

CASE $t_{i_2} < t_{i_k}$: $t_{i_2} > t_{i_3} > \dots > t_{i_k} > t_{i_2}$ length k-1, contradiction of WOP

Are stable matches unique? No We only use P&R, but there's more options!

OPTIMALITY

Who prefers which matching? Depends!

<u>EX</u>	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 1 & BA & -- & A & 12 & -- \\ 2 & AB & -- & B & 21 & -- \\ 3 & BA & DC & C & 12 & 34 \\ 4 & AB & CD & D & 21 & 43 \end{array} \right]$	<p>two tiers two matches per tier</p>
-----------	--	---

MATCH Δ 1A 2B : 3C 4D ; \square 1A 2B : 3D 4C
 \circ 1B 2A : 3C 4D ; \star 1B 2A : 3D 4C

PREF 1 $\circ \star$, $\Delta \square$ 2 $\circ \star$, $\Delta \square$ 3 $\square \star$, $\Delta \circ$ 4 $\square \star$, $\Delta \circ$
 A $\Delta \square$, $\circ \star$ B $\Delta \square$, $\circ \star$ C $\Delta \circ$, $\square \star$ D $\Delta \circ$, $\square \star$

All numbers like \star , all letters like Δ . Is there a pattern?

DEF i is a feasible partner for x if they're ever stably matched

DEF o is the optimal partner for x if x prefers o over all other i

DEF m is an optimal matching for employers if it's optimal \forall employers

Let's use these definitions.

CLAIM P&R gives an employer optimal matching

PROOF Assume otherwise. At the end, some employer doesn't have optimal employee.

DEF weird days are when an optimal employee rejects an offer

By WOP, there is the first weird day. J rejected by C^* .

But C^* is a feasible employee of J . \leftarrow in favor of J^* .

\leftarrow so \exists match m which is stable & has (J, C^*) in it ($\notin J^*, \tilde{C}$)

Here, consider (J^*, C^*) . Is this rogue in m ? Yes \rightarrow

C^* prefers J^* \checkmark . Does J^* prefer C^* to \tilde{C} ? \tilde{C} is feasible for J^* .

This is the first weird day, so J^* 's optimal employee did not reject on this day or before. Thus, J^* prefers C^* to all others.

So is m stable? No, so there is no weird day, so no J has its optimal employee reject them, so P&R gives an employer optimal matching. 